

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/288,569	04/09/1999	HIROYUKI OHTAKI	DAIN:499	7620
75	90 11/1 7/2003		EXAM	INER
PARKHURST	& WENDEL LLP	\	ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, VA 223142805		1756	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/288,569	OHTAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
Period for Reply	VIC OFT TO EVRIPE 4 MONT	H(C) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuding any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	August 2003 .	
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		* .
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8,9,11-13 and 15-21</u> is/are p	pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8,9,11-13 and 15-21</u> is/are re	ejected.	•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	,
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applic	ation No
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11122003

Art Unit: 1756

The response provided by the applicant has been read and given careful consideration.

Responses to the arguments offered by the applicant are presented after the first rejection to which they are directed. Rejections made in the prior office action, but not repeated below are withdrawn based upon the amendments to the claims and the arguments offered by the applicant.

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1,2,5,6,8,9,12,13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morii et al. WO/98/12607, in view of Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369.

Morii et al. WO/98/12607 teaches the use of various adhesive agents (45/13-22). The disclosure of the impregnation of the adhesive with encapsulated diffusing materials is also disclosed. (pages 48-50). The use of adhesive layers which do not contain plasticizer or the like and acts as a barrier layer is disclosed. (25/22+). The use of tackifying agents and the varying of the amounts is disclosed on page 45 at lines 23-27. The use of rosin esters, terpene resin, phenolic resin and other resins as adhesive layers (5") is disclosed on page 34 at lines 18-24. The disclosure of reaction type acrylic adhesives and UV curing adhesives is disclosed. (45/8-22). The use of trimethylol propane tri(meth)acrylate in the holographic recording layer is disclosed on page 16-17. The example corresponding to figure 12 comprises a substrate (2) provided with a photograph (4) attached with paste (3) a heat sealing layer (5') a hologram (6), a

Art Unit: 1756

adhesive layer (5") and a surface protective film (7). Upon lamination, this is heat sealed at preferably 120-160 degrees. The adhesive layer 5" describes the use of acrylic resins or acrylate resins together with rosin ester resin, terpene resin or phenolic resin. The heat sealing layers may be made of various resins, including hot melt resins. (example 5, pages 39-40 (col. 29 in Morii et al. '378)). The use of silicone separators appears throughout including examples 16 and 19 and the use of color tuning films with heating appears in example 19.

Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369 (cited by applicant in paper 12) teaches on page 357 that hydrocarbon tackifier resins are C₅, C₉ and (C₅)₂ compounds. Aromatic hydrocarbon resins are disclosed as 290-1150 daltons, aliphatic resins are disclosed as having MW of 1000-1500 daltons (page 359), heat reactive resins are disclosed as having MW between 500 and 800 daltons (page 361) and terpene resins are disclosed as having MW of 300-1200 daltons (page 352).

It would have been obvious to use either the terpene resin, phenolic resin or other resins disclosed containing tackifying agents or acrylic based adhesives as the adhesive layers in place of those specifically used in example 5 based upon equivalent function and to use tackifiers within the MW of 500-1500 Daltons based upon the teachings of Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369 which is a general reference text when choosing appropriate tackifying agents. The examiner holds that the resins cited inherently contain tackifying agents and that the reference establishes the obviousness of the modification of the content of these adhesives based upon the cited language. The examiner holds that the heat sealing layer composition is a type of adhesive layer based upon the disclosure of the description in the instant specification on page 16 at lines 11-21 that "Further, as adhesive layers, there may be used heat-sealing agents,". Additionally, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the heat sealing layers of example 12 in place of the first adhesive layer in example 19 based as well as the acrylic resins modified with

Art Unit: 1756

the rosin, phenolic or terpene as the second adhesive layer. Clearly the use of the color tuning film is congruent with heating processes, including those resulting in sealing of the article.

The examiner notes that claims 1-2,8,9 embrace diffusion of the tackifier either into or out of the holographic layer, claims 3 and 10 embrace shifting of the tackifier from the holographic layer into the adhesive layer(s), but not the reverse, claims 12 and 20 embrace diffusion of the tackifier from the holographic layer to the adhesive layer, claims 15 and 21 embrace shifting of the tackifier into the holographic layer and claims 4,11 require a color tuning film between the hologram and one of the adhesive layers. Claims 22-27 use an acrylic or methacrylic monomer as the diffusion agent. The issue of claims 22-27 does not involve tackifier as these are unrecited and monomers are clearly known on the record to result in shifting based upon their size and level of curing and that acrylic adhesive layers are known in the art as useful with holograms. The examiner notes that claims 1-3, 8-10 and those dependent thereon embrace the use of adhesives containing tackifiers at a level which may cause diffusion of any tackifiers/adhesion promoters into the adhesive layer(s).

The examiner notes that in the US Patent corresponding to this reference, (6066378) is of record and is relied upon to establish the contents of the WIPO reference. The issue of tackifiers being contained in the adhesive layers has been addressed within this reference. The examiner notes that Fick's law describes the migration of components under the influence of a concentration gradient. The small molecules in the holographic layer and the adhesive layer migrate across the boundary from areas of high concentration to areas of lower concentration. The disclosure of the use of rosin esters, terpene resins, phenolic resins in adhesive layer (5") is disclosed on page 34 in the reference and in column 25 at lines 39-46 and 36/1-8 of the corresponding US patent. These are recited in the instant claims as tackifiers and clearly the reference teaches incorporation of these materials into the adhesive layer in the same manner as the instant specification on page 36 at lines 19-30 which specifically discuss the use of rosin,

Art Unit: 1756

terpene and synthetic resins such as styrene and the like. Clearly, it is appreciated within the art that the small molecules can be transferred between the hologram layer and adjacent layers as evidenced by the reference, particularly at 44/51-46/5 and 35/62-37/35 in the equivalent US patent. Merely the presence of these necessitates as shift in the replay wavelength. The examiner recognizes the artguments concerning tackifiers and points to the reference to tackifiers above in the reference and to the corresponding US patent which specifically recites tackifier resins to improve the adhesion of the adhesive layers (34/2-5). The examiner agrees that the resins themselves are not necessarily tackifiers, but notes that the addition of tackifiers and the addition of various resins, such as terpenes, rosins and synthetic to the adhesive layers lend themselves to the examiner's interpretation, rather than the applicant's. Clearly, the addition of tackifiers is disclosed. The examiner's position is that it would have been obvious to use tackifiers. The examiner notes that this arguments does not address the issue of (meth)acrylic monomers which is covered by the disclosure of acrylic adhesives in the references and column 33 at lines 54-55 of the corresponding US patent. The shifting to shorter wavelengths is caused by migration of molecules from the holographic layer to the adjacent/adhesive layer due to the contraction of the holographic fringes (opposite of swelling) bring them closer together, while the shift to longer wavelengths is caused by migration of small molecules into the holographic layer causing swelling and an increase in the distance between fringes. The incorporation of materials which swell or solubilize the hologram into the adhesive layer is disclosed on page 48-50 of the reference and in column 35 at lines 62-col. 36/line 25. The fact that migration across the boundary is taught renders it obvious to one skilled in the art that migration in the opposite direction is possible due to Fick's law. The deficit of tackifiers in the adhesive layer would draw them and other small molecules from the adjacent holographic layer unless a barrier layer is present. This is an inherent feature based upon the concentration of these. The teaches of Ueda et al. '598 and Smothers et al. EP 0407772 support this position. The rejection stands.

Art Unit: 1756

In response to the arguments of 10/9/2002 as resubmitted in the RCE of 12/16/2002. The examiner notes that the instant specification on page 16 at lines 11-21 that "Further, as adhesive layers, there may be used heat-sealing agents,". This parallels the teachings of the reference applied. The examiner notes that even the disclosed heat sealing species in the instant specification are nearly identical with those of the prior art, except that the applicant places rosin esters, phenolic resins and terpene resins as tackifiers in the specification at page 18 and elsewhere. The examiner has interpreted the claims to only embrace articles which have been exposed to heating when in contact with the adhesive layers as otherwise no opportunity for transfer between the layers exists. The examiner notes that a tackifier would inherently provide increased adhesiveness and that this is evident even from the nomenclature (ie tackifier, tacky ...). As these are merely catagorized differently in the specification, but disclosed as components of the same layer, the rejection is maintained. The examiner's direction to Fick's law, a law describing the migration of species due to a concentration gradient does not specifically mention tackifying agents, but one of ordinary skill in the art is expected to have some appreciation of basic chemistry and would recognize that this applies to all systems including contacting layers of different composition (which inherently results in a concentration gradient) and serves to clearly indicate to one of ordinary skill in the art that migration should be expected. The examiner notes that migration of other species between layers is taught in the reference which would indicate to those of ordinary skill in the art that Fick's law would also be causing motion of other species/compounds in the layers. Any appreciation of Fick's law would preclude the conclusion that only plasticizers and monomers move. The examiner notes that the applicant has a basis in the instant specification on page 16 at line 12 to amend the claims preclude either of the adhesive layers containing heat sealing agents, which reduces the motivation for the heat treatment at that stage.

Art Unit: 1756

In response to the arguments of 8/22/2003, the examiner has applied Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369, cited by the applicant as a general text in paper 12 (9/21/2001) which identifies the appropriate MW range for tackifying resins within the adhesives field. This addresses the issue of the newly added limitation. The examiner has reconsidered the bolded language immediately above and based upon the teachings of the use of tackifiers in pressure sensitive adhesive agents by Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369 it seems that the use of pressure sensitive adhesives with these components would have been obvious to one skilled in the art. There may be an issue with why the holographic laminate resulting from the combination of Morii et al. WO/98/12607 and Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369 would use a heating step if a pressure sensitive adhesive were used as the adhesive layer, rather that a heat sealing type. In the case where the adhesion does not require heating, then the only motivation for heating would be color shifting. Excluding heat sealing adhesive layers would also materially affect how the laminate of the claims functions, the composition of the layers to meet this function and perhaps the relative thickness of the layers without specifying these.

Claims 1,2,4-6,8,9,11-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. '598 and Smothers et al. EP 0407772, in view of Morii et al. WO/98/12607 and Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369.

Ueda et al. '598 teaches in the example with respect to figure 23 a substrate releasable from an adhesive film (101) from figure 22, an adhesive layer (103), a holographic film (2) a second adhesive layer (55), a color tuning film (54), a third adhesive layer (56) and a second substrate (53). The holographic material is omnidex -706 by Dupont, a photopolymeric

Art Unit: 1756

composition and the adhesives are NOA-61. (13/8-20) Color tuning films are described. (19/41-20/31) The application of the color tuning film directly to the hologram is disclosed with respect to figure 12(b-5). These are heated.

Smothers et al. EP 0407772 teaches the use of a layered element to transfer monomer, plasticizer or other diffusable materials between a hologram and an adjacent layer containing these. (8/26-50) The swelling or shrinking of the fringes is disclosed. curing of the hologram and the diffusion element may be done at any time to reduce diffusion. (8/15-9/7) The use of various plasticizers and surfactants is disclosed. (6/17-38). The use of adhesion modifiers in photopolymerizable films is disclosed. (6/17-19) The use of trimethylol propane triacrylate is disclosed. (5/30-31). These are heated.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings of Ueda et al. '598 by using the adhesive layers disclosed by Morii et al. WO/98/12607 and tackifiers in the 50-1500 MW range based upon the teachings of Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369 and treatments thereof in place of the adhesive layer of Ueda et al. and to control the replay wavelength of the hologram by careful control of the amount of diffusible components in a manner analogous to that disclosed within Smothers et al. EP 0407772.

The examiner relies upon Smothers and Ueda et al. to establish the species, ie. plasticizers, monomers, etc., which cause the shifting and relies upon the secondary references to establish that tackifiers and resinous adhesives containing them are known in the art and would be are present in adhesive compositions.

In addition to the basis provided above, the examiner notes the teachings with respect to color tuning films. The examiner notes that without a barrier layer, one of ordinary skill in the art would take into account the color tuning effects of Ueda et al. '598 and Smothers et al. EP 0407772 which are analogous to those of Morii et al. WO/98/12607. It would be sheer folly for

Art Unit: 1756

one skilled in the art to fail to do so as the replay wavelength would then be other than that desired. The rejection stands.

The rejection stands for the reasons above.

Claims 1,2,4-6,8,9,11-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. '598 and Smothers et al. EP 0407772, in view of Morii et al. WO/98/12607 and Schladsman, James, "Tackifier Resins" in "Handbook of Pressure Sensitive adhesive technology", Chapter 16, pp. 352-369, further in view of Yamagishi et al. JP 03-157684, Tarumi et al. '107 or Weber et al. '863.

Yamagishi et al. JP 03-157684 teaches the use of polymerizable adhesives comprising acrylates and/or methacrylates together with a photoinitiator which do not damage the hologram.

Tarumi et al. '107 teaches the use of various adhesives including acrylate and Epoxy adhesives which are UV curable (table 1 and 5/40-6/65)

Weber et al. '863 teaches the use of various adhesives adjacent to holographic recording media including UV curing acrylates. (8/40-62) The use of diffusion elements is also disclosed. (7/6-9)

In addition to the basis provided above, the examiner cites Yamagishi et al. JP 03-157684, Tarumi et al. '107 or Weber et al. '863 to support the position that acrylic/methacrylic curable adhesives are known to be useful with holograms and that the combination set forth above would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

The response provided above is relied upon without further comment.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1756

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin Angebranndt whose telephone number is (703) 308-4397. After December 12, please call (571) 272-1378.

I am normally available between 7:30 AM and 5:00 PM, Monday through Thursday and 7:30 AM and 4:00 PM on alternate Fridays.

If repeated attempts to reach me are unsuccessful, my supervisor may be reached at (703) 308-2464.

Facsimile correspondence should be directed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Martin J. Angebranndt

Primary/Examiner, Group 1750

November 12, 2003